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## Detailed Options Comparisons

The Monadnock Regional School District is a diverse and sprawling school district. Finding a solution to the building issues that satisfies all is difficult. These options are meant to show a logical thought process that explores the possibilities. The final recommendation is the option that in this writer's opinion best addresses the needs at a reasonable cost.

The ten options are meant to show a natural progression of thought from the current situation through conclusions that offer a range of possibilities. Each option is reviewed for their positive and negative aspects and the cost differences. It is well understood that the District is unlikely to support any construction project that does not make financial sense. This process is intended to evaluate each option fairly and completely using the best data available at the time.

Core to the cost evaluation are the construction cost estimates and the Life-Cycle Cost Analysis worksheets. The construction cost estimates include both Hard and Soft costs to ensure complete and reasonable estimate. Hard costs are based on the NH State Department of Education FY 2020 Maximum Allowable Costs for School Construction of $\$ 182$ per square foot for an elementary school in Cheshire County. This is then modified by $10 \%$ to account for inflation for two years. The result is a cost for construction of $\$ 200$ per square foot. Other Hard costs are the site development and earthwork. These are much more difficult to estimate. What has been used is reasonable estimate based on this writer's experience.

The Life-Cycle costs are a combination of Building Needs, Utilities, Human Resources and Financial costs. Many of these numbers are estimates but as much as possible these are researched and reasonable if not conservative. They are applied fairly across options so that if there is a mistake, it is unlikely that it would cause a change in the outcome.

The Building Needs and Utilities are relatively easy to explain. The Building Needs are the issues identified in the Building Needs Assessment Study. The Utilities are the current and projected costs for heating and electricity. Mt Caesar has recently gone through heating and electrical renovations, so there is data to show what the cost difference would be if other schools also went through similar renovations. For new construction is there is data from other districts or regional and national indexes.

The costs for Human Resources are more difficult to predict but is rooted in studies and common sense. The concept is that an existing, older, poorly designed facilities with less quality materials will be less efficient for the staff and less productive for students. For an example; a new school with new and more durable materials will take less time to clean than an older school. Another example; teachers and students that work in a classroom that is well-lit, well ventilated with a comfortable temperature, will be more productive and less likely to be out sick. The savings for not having as many substitute teachers can be calculated. Also included in Human Resources is the cost of bussing which has dramatically increased in recent years. The distance that
students travel to get to school is significant and so is the cost. Options that increase the amount of students being bused can increase the cost of bussing to amounts similar to the cost of the bonds for construction and so needs to be carefully considered.

The Financial costs refer to the cost of financing a bond but also includes the value of existing buildings that could be included in the tax base. The cost of the bond comes in two parts; the principal payment and the interest. Most bonds are level principal so the interest payments actually decline over time. In 10 years the interest would be half of the first year's payment on a 20 -year bond. In some options where there is consolidation of schools, the existing building is assumed to be repurposed and therefore gives value that offsets some of the costs. For the analysis the value of the sale of the property is not calculated but the potential property tax revenue is. Very conservative values of roughly $\$ 30$ per square foot are used.

The Life-Cycle cost projections are limited to 10 years. After more than 10 years it becomes increasingly difficult to predict building upgrade needs. This is when even new construction would start to need regular maintenance. A 10-year horizon does not cover the entire bond repayment which typically is 20 years, however after 10 years the bond payments are considerably less and also the population and valuation will have likely changed. New residents 10 years out will share the cost of the bond and it is likely property values will increase and negate some of the tax impact. The differences of the different options after 10 years is impossible to predict and possibly not significant.

Demographics should also influence the selection of the recommended option. Swanzey represents fully half of the districts population and therefor is the gravitational center of the district. The Middle School and the High School are located here. Fitzwilliam and Troy each represent about $16 \%$ of the population and Richmond $8 \%$ with these three towns together representing about $40 \%$ of the population.

Troy's town center is the most densely populated region. Swanzey is made up of several villages including East Swanzey and the largest, West Swanzey. The Middle School, High School and Mt Caesar Elementary are located between these villages about 3 miles from each center.

Lastly, inflation is added to all the numbers with a factor of $2.9 \%$ per year. Overall the district has seen an increase in energy costs by approximately $10 \%$ a year for the last four years. Energy performance will have an even larger impact if energy costs continue to rise. Bond interest rates have averaged around $2.5 \%$ per year but had dropped even lower in 2020 to the lowest rates published by the NH Municipal Bond Bank. There is no guarantee that bond rates will stay low but there is little indication in the market that they are going up any time soon. With inflation and energy costs rising at the rate higher than inflation, there is a great incentive to borrow money for needed improvements.

The success of any option is likely tied to receiving State Building Aid. The rate for the Monadnock Regional School District is currently $54 \%$ which is paid up-front thus reducing the need for a bond. There are some restrictions and it is possible that some options would receive less aid or even risk not being funded at all. The State Department of Education is encouraging the implementation of certain factors
including; consolidation, solving life safety problems, energy efficiency, reuse of historic structures and other items. Options that contain the most of these factors are more likely to receive Building Aid.

## OPTIONS

The options start with the simplest of scenarios and increase in complexity and explores alternatives.

## Option 1 - Do Nothing

This option is literally what happens if the schools are left exactly the way they are. This is obviously not a viable option because it does nothing to solve the problems identified in the schools. What is important however is to note that "doing nothing" actually has a cost. The schools will cost more to heat, staff will spend more time cleaning and maintaining the buildings and teachers and students will be less productive. These added costs can be estimated and should be used as a benchmark when comparing to other options.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| State Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Life -CyCle, 10Yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 28,116,227$ |

## Option 2 - Upgrades Over 10 Years

This option is the "pay as you go" option. Common Sense might suggest that this is the most financially responsible option but that ignores a number of factors. First of all, inflation in construction and energy are outpacing the bond interest. By locking in a low interest rate bond, the value of the construction is locked in for 20 years. Also, by putting off needed improvements the district is denied the benefits of lower energy bills and better teacher and student performance. The result is a much higher longterm cost.

Building Aid is assumed to not be available due to the projects being paid through the operating budget. If Building Aid is available it would be limited by rules setting maximum size and cost of projects. Emerson and Gilsum would only be allowed a small amount of state aid due to the fact that they have received large additions within the last 50 years that received Building Aid.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| STATE BuIlding Aid | $=$ | $\$ ? ?$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Life -CyCle, 10yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 47,763,930$ |

This option performs all upgrades of Option 2 in one year by financing it through a bond. By combining all the district needs into one project there is an economy of scale. As mentioned in Option 2, the cost of the interest on the bond is lower than the cost of inflation. Add to that the benefit of better facilities on maintenance and performance and the net cost of this option is much lower than doing the projects over time.

As in Option 1, State Building Aid would be limited in this scenario. Some facilities have received Building Aid in the last 50 years and those projects need to be deducted from the square foot of new construction financed by State Aid. The State also requires that renovations not exceed $60 \%$ of the value of the existing building. Renovations at Gilsum and Troy exceed $60 \%$ of their current value.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 18,354,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| STate Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 9,179,198$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 11,010,642$ |
| Life -CyCle, 10Yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 32,641,912$ |

Option 4 - New South Elementary, Additions/Renovations North Schools
Due to the demographics and the relatively small size of Emerson and Troy it makes sense to combine these schools into one. Both Emerson and Troy have numerous upgrades making a new school somewhat close in cost. If both buildings can be repurposed it is possible to see revenue from the property taxes. The efficiency of a new school adds to the savings. Most importantly this option meets many of the States criteria for Building Aid and is more likely to receive aid than the previous options.

This option does not address all the needs and most notably does not make any change to the site at Cutler.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 25,341,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATE Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 10,210,947$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 17,172,242$ |
| Life -Cycle, 10yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 35,247,428$ |

Like Option 4, this consolidates Fitzwilliam and Troy into one new school but here Cutler is moved to the Mt Caesar site to create one school for Swanzey. This would be a relatively easy change for Swanzey since Cutler and Mt Caesar already share the same students split by grade. A single school for Swanzey would benefit the students since there would not be the transition to a new school at third grade.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 29,420,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 12,454,397$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 19,456,482$ |
| Life -CyCle, 10Yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 34,918,485$ |

## Option 6 - New Single Consolidated Elementary School

A common sense approach might be to consolidate all the elementary school students into one central school building. This would simplify administration and maintenance. However, the experience for the students would probably not be as favorable. The school would need to hold over 1000 students which is very large for elementary ages. It would also be a very intense use for the site which would most likely be the Mt Caesar site. Also, an important point to consider is that almost all students would need transportation and thus dramatically increase the cost of bussing and burden the system.

The State caps Building Aid on the number of square feet per student minus any previous projects that already received Building Aid. This results in all-new construction receiving less Aid than projects that reuse buildings.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 31,860,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 11,556,954$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 22,614,437$ |
| LIfe -CyCle, 10yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 37,221,168$ |

This option consolidates five schools down to two by closing four schools and building one new on. A new South Elementary would be constructed somewhere central to Troy, Richmond and Fitzwilliam, and Mt Caesar would receive additions and renovations to make a North Elementary. These two schools would be equal in size making them efficient to staff and operate. This also provides the most equivalent experience for students throughout the district. It does require that students are distributed equally meaning that some students from Swanzey would likely attend the South School.

As in Option 6, State Building Aid is reduced due to previous projects having to be deducted from the amount of new construction allowed. Even still, the savings for the improved efficiency make this option only $10 \%$ higher over 10 years than the baseline "Do Nothing" option.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 25,528,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STate Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 11,375,709$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 16,427,432$ |
| Life -CyCle, 10yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 32,738,665$ |

Option 8 - Additions/Renovations to Troy for South Elementary,
Additions/Renovations to Mt Caesar for North School, Redistrict
This option is similar to the previous in that it consolidates the district into just two elementary schools, one North located at Mt Caesar and one South, now located at Troy. It preserves the Troy school which is a historic building. This option is as efficient as Option 7 for operations.

The existing Troy school can be challenging to work with, but it also gives some unique opportunities. The existing building is 3 -stories which keeps the footprint of the building small. If a 3 -story addition were constructed to replace the 1 -story wing, it is possible to have a much larger facility that does not occupy more area than it currently does.

| Building Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 22,575,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STate Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 11,091,909$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 13,701,472$ |
| LIfe -CyCle, 10yr Costs | $=$ | $\$ 32,106,010$ |

Option 9 - Additions/Renovations to Mt Caesar to Combine Swanzey and Troy, Addition/Renovations to Emerson and Gilsum

The concept for this option is to close Troy and Cutler which are considered the two schools in the most need and to consolidate them onto the Mt Caesar site. This affords some economy of scale and preserves Emerson and Gilsum as neighborhood schools which has been voiced as a priority.

The new Mt Caesar however would be very large for an elementary school and some of the expected economy of scale is lost due to being over-sized. Also, this ensures a dramatic increase in bussing with all of Troy needing transportation.

| BuILding Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 22,137,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 11,259,848$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 13,129,122$ |
| Life -CYCLE, 10YR Costs | $=$ | $\$ 35,082,939$ |

Option 10 - Town Schools, Combine Cutler with Mt Caesar onto One Swanzey School on the Mt Caesar Site

This concept looks at keeping a school active in each town that currently has a school. The only school to be closed would be Cutler which has the most difficult site. This would be an easy transition since Cutler and Mt Caesar already take all Swanzey students but at different grades. Town identity and school pride would be preserved. There is the possibility that Troy could expect growth due to planned residential developments and this gives the ability to absorb additional students there.

There would be increased cost due to multiple buildings but also a reduced cost for bussing over other options that close more schools. Also, this ensures a dramatic increase in bussing with all of Troy needing transportation.

| BuILding Project Cost | $=$ | $\$ 22,433,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Building Aid | $=$ | $\$ 11,422,648$ |
| Bond Amount | $=$ | $\$ 13,294,882$ |
| Life -CyCLE, 10YR Costs | $=$ | $\$ 32,312,968$ |

## RECOMMENDATION

It is clear the cost of upgrading the Monadnock Regional School District facilities can be done cost effectively. The cost of doing nothing or trying to pay-as-you-go can be expensive and does not give the benefits of upgraded facilities right away. Which option is best can be a matter of opinion and subject to intangible influences.

In general, the concept of two schools, one North in the district and one South in the district meets the needs within a reasonable cost. However, where to put the South school is a difficult question to answer.

Worth considering is Option 10 that keeps a school open in each town. This addresses the need that has been voiced for local control and pride in the schools. It also reduces the amount of busing which saves costs and the amount of time students spend commuting.

End Report

## APENDIX

## Monadnock Regional School District Elementary School Improvements

Student Enrollment per Option

|  |  | Student Capacity |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cutler | Emerson | Gilsum | Mt Caesar | Troy | New |  |
| Option \#1 | Do Nothing | 300 | 200 | 100 | 250 | 200 |  | 1,050 |
| Option \#2 | Additions / Renovations Over Ten Years. | 300 | 200 | 100 | 250 | 200 |  | 1,050 |
| Option \#3 | Additions / Renovations District Wide in One Year | 300 | 200 | 100 | 250 | 200 |  | 1,050 |
| Option \#4 | New South Elementary, Additions / Renovations to North Schools | 300 |  | 100 | 250 |  | 400 | 1,050 |
| Option \#5 | New South Elementary, Add/Reno to Mt Caesar for Swanzey, Add/Reno to Gilsum |  |  | 100 | 550 |  | 400 | 1,050 |
| Option \#6 | Consolidate all Elementary onto Mt Caesar Site |  |  |  |  |  | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| Option \#7 | New South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | 525 |  | 525 | 1,050 |
| Option \#8 | Troy South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | 525 | 525 |  | 1,050 |



## Monadnock Regional School District Elementary School Improvements

Total Building Project Cost per Option

|  |  | Building Project Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cutler | Emerson |  | Gilsum |  | Mt Caesar | Troy | New |  |
| Option \#1 | Do Nothing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Option \#2 | Renovations and Additions Over Ten Years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Option \#3 | Additions/Renovations District Wide in One Year | \$ 3,860,000 | \$ 2,474,000 | \$ | 4,437,000 | \$ | 1,385,000 | \$ 6,198,000 |  | \$ 18,354,000 |
| Option \#4 | New South Elementary, <br> Additions/Renovations to North Schools | \$ 3,860,000 |  | \$ | 4,437,000 | \$ | 1,385,000 |  | \$ 15,659,000 | \$ 25,341,000 |
| Option \#5 | New South Elementary, Mt Caesar North Elementary, Add/Reno to Gilsum |  |  | \$ | 4,437,000 |  | 9,324,000 |  | \$ 15,659,000 | \$ 29,420,000 |
| Option \#6 | Consolidate all Elementary onto Mt Caesar Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 31,860,000 | \$ 31,860,000 |
| Option \#7 | New South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  |  |  | 8,028,000 |  | \$ 17,500,000 | \$ 25,528,000 |
| Option \#8 | Troy South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  |  |  | 8,028,000 | \$ 14,547,000 |  | \$ 22,575,000 |


| Option \#9Mt Caesar Swanzey/Troy, Emerson and <br> Gilsum Remain |  | $\$ 2,474,000$ | $\$ 4,437,000$ | $\$ 15,226,000$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Option \#10 Town Schools |  | $\$ 2,474,000$ | $\$ 4,437,000$ | $\$ 9,324,000$ | $\$ 6,198,000$ | $\$ 22,137,000$ |

## Monadnock Regional School District Elementary School Improvements

State Building Aid per Option Comparison

|  |  | State Aid at 54\% |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cutler | Emerson | Gilsum | Mt Caesar | Troy | New |  |
| Option \#1 | Do Nothing |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Option \#2 | Renovations and Additions Over Ten Years. |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Option \#3 | Additions/Renovations District Wide in One Year | \$ 2,123,000 | \$ 1,360,700 | \$ 1,524,848 | \$ 761,750 | \$ 3,408,900 |  | \$ 9,179,198 |
| Option \#4 | New South Elementary, <br> Additions/Renovations to North Schools | \$ 2,123,000 |  | \$ 1,524,848 | \$ 761,750 |  | \$ 5,801,349 | \$ 10,210,947 |
| Option \#5 | New South Elementary, Mt Caesar North Elementary, Add/Reno to Gilsum |  |  | \$ 1,524,848 | \$ 5,128,200 |  | \$ 5,801,349 | \$ 12,454,397 |
| Option \#6 | Consolidate all Elementary onto Mt Caesar Site |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 11,556,954 | \$ 11,556,954 |
| Option \#7 | New South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | \$ 4,415,400 |  | \$ 6,960,309 | \$ 11,375,709 |
| Option \#8 | Troy South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | \$ 4,415,400 | \$ 6,676,509 |  | \$ 11,091,909 |


| Option \#9Mt Caesar Swanzey/Troy, Emerson and <br> Gilsum Remain |  | $\$ 1,360,700$ | $\$ 1,524,848$ | $\$ 8,374,300$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Option \#10 Town Schools |  | $\$ 1,360,700$ | $\$ 1,524,848$ | $\$ 8,128,200$ | $\$ 3,408,900$ | $\$ 11,259,848$ |

## Monadnock Regional School District Elementary School Improvements

|  |  | Bond Amount |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cutler | Emerson | Gilsum | Mt Caesar | Troy | New |  |
| Option \#1 | Do Nothing |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Option \#2 | Renovations and Additions Over Ten Years. |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| Option \#3 | Additions/Renovations District Wide in One Year | \$ 2,161,600 | \$ 1,385,440 | \$ 3,217,122 | \$ 775,600 | \$ 3,470,880 |  | \$ 11,010,642 |
| Option \#4 | New South Elementary, <br> Additions/Renovations to North Schools | \$ 2,161,600 |  | \$ 3,217,122 | \$ 775,600 |  | \$ 11,017,920 | \$ 17,172,242 |
| Option \#5 | New South Elementary, Mt Caesar North Elementary, Add/Reno to Gilsum |  |  | \$ 3,217,122 | \$ 5,221,440 |  | \$ 11,017,920 | \$ 19,456,482 |
| Option \#6 | Consolidate all Elementary onto Mt Caesar Site |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 22,614,437 | \$ 22,614,437 |
| Option \#7 | New South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | \$ 4,495,680 |  | \$ 11,931,752 | \$ 16,427,432 |
| Option \#8 | Troy South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | \$ 4,495,680 | \$ 9,205,792 |  | \$ 13,701,472 |


| Option \#9Mt Caesar Swanzey/Troy, Emerson and <br> Gilsum Remain | \$ 1,385,440 | \$ 3,217,122 | \$ 8,526,560 |  | \$ 13,129,122 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option \#10 Town Schools | \$ 1,385,440 | \$ 3,217,122 | \$ 5,221,440 | \$ 3,470,880 | \$ 13,294,882 |

## Monadnock Regional School District Elementary School Improvements

|  |  | Life-Cycle Costs (including 54\% State Buidling Aid and Bond) over 10 years |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cutler | Emerson | Gilsum | Mt Caesar | Troy | New |  |
| Option \#1 | Do Nothing | \$ 7,355,369 | \$ 5,807,799 | \$ 3,066,905 | \$ 6,918,912 | \$ 4,967,242 |  | \$ 28,116,227 |
| Option \#2 | Renovations and Additions Over Ten Years. | \$ 8,086,438 | \$ 8,216,513 | \$ 8,639,862 | \$ 9,128,314 | \$ 13,692,803 |  | \$47,763,930 |
| Option \#3 | Additions/Renovations District Wide in One Year | \$ 8,031,465 | \$ 6,047,724 | \$ 4,855,701 | \$ 7,418,793 | \$ 6,288,229 |  | \$ 32,641,912 |
| Option \#4 | New South Elementary, <br> Additions/Renovations to North Schools | \$ 8,031,465 |  | \$ 4,855,701 | \$ 7,418,793 |  | \$ 14,941,469 | \$ 35,247,428 |
| Option \#5 | New South Elementary, Mt Caesar North Elementary, Add/Reno to Gilsum |  |  | \$ 4,855,701 | \$ 15,121,315 |  | \$ 14,941,469 | \$ 34,918,485 |
| Option \#6 | Consolidate all Elementary onto Mt Caesar Site |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 37,221,168 | \$ 37,221,168 |
| Option \#7 | New South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | \$ 14,603,739 |  | \$ 18,134,926 | \$ 32,738,665 |
| Option \#8 | Troy South Elementary and Mt Caesar North with Redistricting |  |  |  | \$ 14,603,739 | \$ 17,502,271 |  | \$ 32,106,010 |


| Option \#9Mt Caesar Swanzey/Troy, Emerson and <br> Gilsum Remain |  | $\$ 6,047,724$ | $\$ 4,855,701$ | $\$ 24,179,515$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Option \#10 Town Schools |  | $\$ 6,047,724$ | $\$ 4,855,701$ | $\$ 15,121,315$ | $\$ 6,288,229$ |  |  |

OPTION 1

## DO NOTHING




Gilsum STEAM Academy Student Capacity 100




## OPTION 2

## ADDITIONS AND RENOVATIONS OVER 10 YEARS



Table I




| Troy Elementary |  |  |  | Option 2 - Upgrades Over Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Life-Cycle Cost Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Task |  | Rate |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|  | Division 0 |  |  |  | 64,827 | 83,860 | 63,150 | 67,605 | 57,314 | 78,207 | 72,559 | 175,347 | 86,142 | 558,669 |
|  | Division 1 |  |  |  | - | 127,061 | - | - | - | 296,778 | - | 942,723 | - | 3,103,718 |
|  | Division 2 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 28,841 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 3 |  |  |  | - | 105,884 | - | - | - | - | 79,400 | - | - | - |
|  | Division 4 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 5 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 56,057 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 6 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 67,269 | 34,610 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 7 |  |  |  | - | 52,942 | - | , | , | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 8 |  |  |  | - | 52,92 | 326,864 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 9 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 71,227 | 109,939 | 31,424 | 129,342 | - |
|  | Division 10 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 11 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Division 12 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 24,227 | 66,478 | - | - | 25,868 | - |
|  | Division 13 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | , | 6, | - | - | 323,354 | - |
|  | Division 14 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 213,769 | - | - | - |
|  | Division 15 |  |  |  | 360,150 | 158,826 | - | - | 201,890 | - | , | - | - | - |
|  | Division 16 |  |  |  | - | 21,177 | 23,970 | 252,257 | 28,841 | - | - | - | , | - |
|  | SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | 424,977 | 549,750 | 413,984 | 443,188 | 375,723 | 512,691 | 475,668 | 1,149,494 | 564,706 | 3,662,388 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{U}{E}} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | Water |  | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Electricity |  | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Grid |  | \$ | 0.64 | 22,366 | 23,015 | 23,682 | 24,369 | 25,076 | 25,803 | 26,551 | 27,321 | 28,113 | 28,929 |
|  | On-Site |  | - |  |  | , |  | , | , | , | , | , |  | - |
|  | Cooking Fuel |  | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Heating Fuel |  | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Oil |  | \$ |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Propane |  | \$ | 0.83 | 29,006 | 29,847 | 30,713 | 31,603 | 32,520 | 33,463 | 34,433 | 35,432 | 36,460 | 37,517 |
|  | Wood |  | \$ | - |  |  | , | , | , |  |  | - |  | , |
|  | Electricity |  | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Other |  | \$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | 51,372 | 52,862 | 54,395 | 55,972 | 57,596 | 59,266 | 60,985 | 62,753 | 64,573 | 66,446 |
|  | Cleaning |  | \$ | 2.50 | 87,368 | 89,901 | 92,508 | 95,191 | 97,952 | 100,792 | 103,715 | 106,723 | 109,818 | 113,003 |
|  | Repairs |  | \$ | 0.25 | 8,737 | 8,990 | 9,251 | 9,519 | 9,795 | 10,079 | 10,372 | 10,672 | 10,982 | 11,300 |
|  | Productivity Loss |  |  | 1.00\% | 13,105 | 13,485 | 13,876 | 14,279 | 14,693 | 15,119 | 15,557 | 16,008 | 16,473 | 16,950 |
|  | Bussing |  | \$ | 1,400 | 288,120 | 296,475 | 305,073 | 313,920 | 323,024 | 332,392 | 342,031 | 351,950 | 362,157 | 372,659 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | 397,329 | 408,852 | 420,709 | 432,909 | 445,464 | 458,382 | 471,675 | 485,354 | 499,429 | 513,912 |
|  | Principal |  |  | 5.00\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Interest |  |  | 1.67\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Tax Income |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | SUBTOTAL |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| total | 873,679 |  | 1,011,464 | 889,088 | 932,070 | 878,782 | 1,030,339 | 1,008,327 1, | 1,697,601 |  | 1,128,708 | 4,242,746 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GRAND TOTAL \$ 13,692,803 |  |  |
|  | Bond Amt: | \$ | - |  | Building Size: | 33,962 |  | Total Project Cost: |  | \$ | - |  |
|  | Bond Term: |  | 20 |  | Inflation: | 2.90\% * |  | State Aid Rate: |  |  |  | Nominal |
|  | Bond Rate: |  | 1.67\% ** |  | Value Exist: |  |  | State Building Aid: |  |  |  |  |
|  | * Inflation based on current RS Means Historic Cost Index$* *$ Band Rate is last reported sale by the NH Municipal Bond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## OPTION 3

## ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS IN ONE YEAR

## Cutler Elementary

Student Capacity 300

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$200,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  | \$200,000 |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$2,940,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 3,675 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$669,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$2,205,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$33,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$33,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$190,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$30,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$150,000 |  |
| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  |  |  |  | \$280,000 |
|  | Loose Equipment | 5.00\% |  | \$150,000 |  |
|  | Phone Service | 1.00\% |  | \$30,000 |  |
|  | Computers | 2.00\% |  | \$60,000 |  |
|  | Utility Charges | 1.50\% |  | \$40,000 |  |
| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  |  |  | \$50,000 |
|  | Testing | 0.25\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | Survey, Borings | 0.25\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | Clerk of the Works | 0.75\% |  | \$20,000 |  |
|  | Bonding/Legal | 0.30\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
| 6. | Design Contingency | 5\% |  |  | \$200,000 |

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G
Barker Architects, Inc.
11/30/2020

## Cutler Elementary

Student Capacity 300 Core Capacity

## Square Foot Allowance

120 square feet / student :
1900
1950's
1970's
1990

Pre-School
State Allowable Formula
Previous < 35 y.o.
Original School
3-Story Addition
Classroom Wing

## Bond Cost Worksheet

## 350

|  | Total to Deduct | 11,382 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allowable Size |  | 30,618 |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula | $\$$ | 182 per square foot $=$ |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |  |
| $\$ 920,000$ |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  | $6,492,476$ |

## Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds |  | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,327,048 \\ & \$ ~ 1,581,762 \\ & \$ 3,796,229 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost | = | \$ | 3,860,000 |
|  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$2,123,000 |  |  |
|  | 80\% | At Start | \$1,698,400 |  |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$424,600 |  |  |

Total Project Cost
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$2,161,600
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020



## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Emerson Elementary School
Student Capacity
200
Core Capacity

Bond Cost Worksheet 248

Square Foot Allowance

State Allowable Formula
Previous < 35 y.o.
Gym/CR Addition
Pre-School
五

144 square feet / student :
1990

Total to Deduct
19,706
Allowable Size

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 2,913,092$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |

Allowable Cost 3,543,092
Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds | Replacement Value | $\$ 5,977,972$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $25 \%$ Renovation Min. $\$ 1,494,493$ |  |  |  |
|  | $60 \%$ Renovation Max. $\$ 3,586,783$ |  |  |  |
| State Aid |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | $2,474,000$ |
|  | $55 \%$ | State Aid Rate | $\$ 1,360,700$ |  |
|  | $80 \%$ | At Start | $\$ 1,088,560$ |  |
|  | $20 \%$ | At Completion | $\$ 272,140$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total Project Cost
\$2,474,000
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$1,385,440
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020

Student Capacity 100

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$3,657,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 8,383 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$1,526,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$1,979,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$180,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$0 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$180,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$0 |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 340,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |


| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency $5 \% \quad \$ 200,000$

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

| Gilsum Elementary |  |  |  | Bond Cost Worksheet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity |  | 100 | Core Capacity | 160 |  |
| Square Foot Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable FormulaPrevious < 35 y.0. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990's 7,890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total to Deduct |  | 7,890 |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 15,150 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | \$2,757,300 |  |
|  | Site and Soft Cost \$780,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | \$2,772,450 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25\% Renovation Min. |  | \$ 822,094 |  |
|  |  |  | 60\% Renovation Max. | \$ 1,973,026 |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost |  |  | \$ 2,772,450 |
|  |  | 55\% State Aid Rate |  | \$1,524,848 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | At Start | \$1,219,878 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$304,970 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$4,437,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$1,524,848 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,217,122 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | tects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |



| Student Capacity | 250 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$100,000 |
| Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
| Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
| Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
| Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  | \$100,000 |  |
| General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$1,015,000 |
| New Constuction | 3,745 s.f. | \$ 182 pers.f. | \$682,000 |  |
| Renovation |  |  | \$265,000 |  |
| Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$34,000 |  |
| Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$34,000 |  |
| 3. Design Fees |  |  |  | \$60,000 |
| Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
| A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$30,000 |  |
| A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$20,000 |  |
| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  |  |  | \$100,000 |
| Loose Equipment | 5.00\% |  | \$50,000 |  |
| Phone Service | 1.00\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
| Computers | 2.00\% |  | \$20,000 |  |
| Utility Charges | 1.50\% |  | \$20,000 |  |
| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  |  | \$10,000 |
| Testing | 0.25\% |  | \$0 |  |
| Survey, Borings | 0.25\% |  | \$0 |  |
| Clerk of the Works | 0.75\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
| Bonding/Legal | 0.30\% |  | \$0 |  |
| 6. Design Contingency | 5\% |  |  | \$100,000 |

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G
Barker Architects, Inc.
11/30/2020

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity
325
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet 290

## Square Foot Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | 120 square feet / student $=$ | 34,800 based on core capacity |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Previous < 35 y.o. |  |  |
| Original Schools | 1900,1950 | Older than 35 years |
| 1st Additions | 1950 s | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 1987 | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 2000 | 4,733 |
| Pre-School |  | 2,345 |

Total to Deduct 7,078
Allowable Size
27,722
Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 5,045,404$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |

Allowable Cost \$5,415,404
Reimbursement
Renovation Thresholds

State Aid

| Replacement Value | $\$ 7,004,816$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 25\% Renovation Min. | $\$ 1,751,204$ |  |
| 60\% Renovation Max. | $\$ 4,202,890$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | $\$$ |
| State Aid Rate | $\$ 761,750$ |  |
| At Start | $\$ 609,400$ |  |
| At Completion | $\$ 152,350$ |  |

Total Project Cost
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020


## Troy Elementary School

Project Cost Worksheet
Student Capacity 200

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$200,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  | \$200,000 |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$4,838,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 6,364 s.f. | \$ 182 pers.f. | \$1,158,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$3,564,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$58,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$58,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$320,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$60,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$250,000 |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 460,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 240,000$ |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |


| 5. |  |  | $\$ 80,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 20,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency

5\%
\$300,000

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Troy Elementary School
Student Capacity
200
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet

Square Foot Allowance
State Allowable Formula
144 square feet / student :
Previous < 50 y.o.

|  |  |  |  |  | All Troy additions over 50 years old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total to Deduct | - |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 35,712 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,499,584 \\ & \$ 1,360,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | 7,859,584 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max | $\$ 4,029,208$ $\$ 1,007,302$ $\$ 2,417,525$ |  |
| State Aid |  |  | Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | \$ 6,198,000 |
|  |  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$3,408,900 |  |
|  |  | 80\% | At Start | \$2,727,120 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$681,780 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$6,198,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$3,4,08,900 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,470,880 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | ects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |



## OPTION 4

NEW SOUTH ELEMENTARY ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO NORTH SCHOOLS

## Cutler Elementary

Student Capacity 300

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$200,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  | \$200,000 |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$2,940,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 3,675 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$669,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$2,205,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$33,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$33,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$190,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$30,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$150,000 |  |
| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  |  |  |  | \$280,000 |
|  | Loose Equipment | 5.00\% |  | \$150,000 |  |
|  | Phone Service | 1.00\% |  | \$30,000 |  |
|  | Computers | 2.00\% |  | \$60,000 |  |
|  | Utility Charges | 1.50\% |  | \$40,000 |  |
| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  |  |  | \$50,000 |
|  | Testing | 0.25\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | Survey, Borings | 0.25\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
|  | Clerk of the Works | 0.75\% |  | \$20,000 |  |
|  | Bonding/Legal | 0.30\% |  | \$10,000 |  |
| 6. | Design Contingency | 5\% |  |  | \$200,000 |

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G
Barker Architects, Inc.
11/30/2020

## Cutler Elementary

Student Capacity 300 Core Capacity

## Square Foot Allowance

120 square feet / student :
1900
1950's
1970's
1990

Pre-School
State Allowable Formula
Previous < 35 y.o.
Original School
3-Story Addition
Classroom Wing

## Bond Cost Worksheet

## 350

|  | Total to Deduct | 11,382 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allowable Size |  | 30,618 |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula | $\$$ | 182 per square foot $=$ |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |  |
| $\$ 920,000$ |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  | $6,492,476$ |

## Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds |  | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,327,048 \\ & \$ ~ 1,581,762 \\ & \$ 3,796,229 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost | = | \$ | 3,860,000 |
|  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$2,123,000 |  |  |
|  | 80\% | At Start | \$1,698,400 |  |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$424,600 |  |  |

Total Project Cost
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$2,161,600
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020

Student Capacity 100

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$3,657,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 8,383 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$1,526,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$1,979,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$180,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$0 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$180,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$0 |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 340,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |


| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency $5 \% \quad \$ 200,000$

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

| Gilsum Elementary |  |  |  | Bond Cost Worksheet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity |  | 100 | Core Capacity | 160 |  |
| Square Foot Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable FormulaPrevious < 35 y.0. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990's 7,890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total to Deduct |  | 7,890 |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 15,150 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | \$2,757,300 |  |
|  | Site and Soft Cost \$780,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | \$2,772,450 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25\% Renovation Min. |  | \$ 822,094 |  |
|  |  |  | 60\% Renovation Max. | \$ 1,973,026 |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost |  |  | \$ 2,772,450 |
|  |  | 55\% State Aid Rate |  | \$1,524,848 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | At Start | \$1,219,878 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$304,970 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$4,437,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$1,524,848 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,217,122 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | tects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |

Gilsum STEAM Academy Option 4 - New South Elementary, Add/Reno North Schools Life-Cycle Cost Analysis Student Capacity 100


Table I
Barker Architects, PLLC 11/30/2020


## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G
Barker Architects, Inc.
11/30/2020

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity
250
Core Capacity
Square Foot Allowance

Total to Deduct $\quad 7,078$
Allowable Size
27,722

## Construction Cost Allowance

State Allowable Formula $\$ 182$ per square foot $=\quad$| $\$ 5,045,404$ |
| ---: |
| Site and Soft Cost |$\$ 370,000$

Allowable Cost \$5,415,404
Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds | Replacement Value | $\$ 7,004,816$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $25 \%$ Renovation Min. | $\$ 1,751,204$ |  |  |
|  | $60 \%$ Renovation Max. | $\$ 4,202,890$ |  |  |
| State Aid |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | $1,385,000$ |
|  | $55 \%$ | State Aid Rate | $\$ 761,750$ |  |
|  | $80 \%$ | At Start | $\$ 609,400$ |  |
|  | $20 \%$ | At Completion | $\$ 152,350$ |  |

Total Project Cost
\$1,385,000
Total State Aid

Total Bond Amount
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020


(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

New Elementary School
Student Capacity
400
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet 510

Square Foot Allowance

State Allowable Formula
Previous < 50 y.o.
Emerson Gym/CR Add.

120 square feet $/$ student $=$
61,200 based on core capacity
1990
19,706

Pre-School 2,000
Total to Deduct
21,706
Allowable Size
39,494

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 7,187,908$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  | $\$ 3,360,000$ |
|  |  | $10,547,908$ |

Reimbursement
Renovation Thresholds

State Aid

Replacement Value
25\% Renovation Min.
60\% Renovation Max.
Allowed Project Cost $=\quad \$ \quad 10,547,908$
$55 \%$ State Aid Rate
80\% At Start
\$5,801,349
\$4,641,080
20\% At Completion $\$ 1,160,270$

Total Project Cost
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount

Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC 11/30/2020


## OPTION 5

## NEW SOUTH ELEMENTARY <br> ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO MT CAESAR FOR SWANZEY ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO GILSUM

Student Capacity 100

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$3,657,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 8,383 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$1,526,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$1,979,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$180,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$0 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$180,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$0 |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 340,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |


| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency $5 \% \quad \$ 200,000$

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

| Gilsum Elementary |  |  |  | Bond Cost Worksheet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity |  | 100 | Core Capacity | 160 |  |
| Square Foot Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable FormulaPrevious < 35 y.0. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990's 7,890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total to Deduct |  | 7,890 |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 15,150 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | \$2,757,300 |  |
|  | Site and Soft Cost \$780,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | \$2,772,450 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25\% Renovation Min. |  | \$ 822,094 |  |
|  |  |  | 60\% Renovation Max. | \$ 1,973,026 |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost |  |  | \$ 2,772,450 |
|  |  | 55\% State Aid Rate |  | \$1,524,848 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | At Start | \$1,219,878 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$304,970 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$4,437,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$1,524,848 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,217,122 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | tects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |



(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity
550
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet
650

## Square Foot Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | 120 square feet / student $=$ | 78,000 | based on core capacity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Previous < 35 y.o. |  |  |  |
| Original Schools | 1900,1950 |  | Older than 35 years |
| 1st Additions | 1950 's |  | Older than 35 years |
| Early Cutler Additions |  | Older than 35 years |  |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 1987 |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 2000 |  | 4,733 |
| Cutler Gym | 1990 's |  | 11,382 |
| Pre-School |  | Total to Deduct | 16,115 |
|  |  | 61,885 |  |

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 11,263,070$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  | $\$ 13,243,000$ |

Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max. |  | \$ 7,004,816 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$ 1,751,204 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ 4,202,890 |  |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost | = | \$ | 9,324,000 |
|  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$5,128,200 |  |  |
|  | 80\% | At Start | \$4,102,560 |  |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$1,025,640 |  |  |



(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

New Elementary School
Student Capacity
400
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet 510

Square Foot Allowance

State Allowable Formula
Previous < 50 y.o.
Emerson Gym/CR Add.

120 square feet $/$ student $=$
61,200 based on core capacity
1990
19,706

Pre-School 2,000
Total to Deduct
21,706
Allowable Size
39,494

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 7,187,908$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  | $\$ 3,360,000$ |
|  |  | $10,547,908$ |

Reimbursement
Renovation Thresholds

State Aid

Replacement Value
25\% Renovation Min.
60\% Renovation Max.
Allowed Project Cost $=\quad \$ \quad 10,547,908$
$55 \%$ State Aid Rate
80\% At Start
\$5,801,349
\$4,641,080
20\% At Completion $\$ 1,160,270$

Total Project Cost
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount

Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC 11/30/2020


## OPTION 6

## CONSOLIDATE ALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ONTO A NEW SCHOOL AT MT CAESAR SITE


(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G



## OPTION 7

NEW SOUTH ELEMENTARY ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO CAESAR SITE AS NEW NORTH ELEMENTARY

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity
525
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet
590

## Square Foot Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | 120 square feet / student = | 70,800 | based on core capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous < 35 y.o. |  |  |  |
| Original Schools | 1900, 1950 |  | Older than 35 years |
| 1st Additions | 1950's |  | Older than 35 years |
| Early Cutler Additions |  |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 1987 |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 2000 | 4,733 |  |
| Cutler Gym | 1990's | 11,382 |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |
|  | Total to Deduct | 16,115 |  |
| Allowable Size |  | 54,685 |  |

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | $\$$ | 182 per square foot $=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  | $\$ 9,952,670$ |
|  |  | $\$ 1,800,000$ |
| Allowable Cost | $\$ 11,752,670$ |  |

Reimbursement
Renovation Thresholds

State Aid

Replacement Value $\quad \$ 7,004,816$
25\% Renovation Min. \$ 1,751,204
60\% Renovation Max. $\quad \$ 4,202,890$

Allowed Project Cost $=\quad \$ \quad 8,028,000$
\$4,415,400
$\begin{array}{llr}80 \% & \text { At Start } & \$ 3,532,320 \\ 20 \% & \text { At Completion } & \$ 883,080\end{array}$
Total Project Cost
\$8,028,000
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$4,495,680
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020


| New Elementary School |  |  | Project Cost Workshee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity | 525 |  |  |  |
| 1. Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$700,000 |
| Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
| Playfields | 1 | Field | \$300,000 |  |
| Paving | 100 | Spaces | \$200,000 |  |
| Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  | \$200,000 |  |
| 2. General Construction-New |  |  |  | \$13,780,000 |
| New Constuction | 68,833 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$12,528,000 |  |
| Renovation |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$626,000 |  |
| Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$626,000 |  |
| 3. Design Fees |  |  |  | \$670,000 |
| Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$40,000 |  |
| A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$630,000 |  |
| A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$0 |  |
| Furniture, Equipment and Services |  |  |  | \$1,320,000 |
| Loose Equipment | 5.00\% |  | \$690,000 |  |
| Phone Service | 1.00\% |  | \$140,000 |  |
| Computers | 2.00\% |  | \$280,000 |  |
| Utility Charges | 1.50\% |  | \$210,000 |  |
| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  |  | \$230,000 |
| Testing | 0.25\% |  | \$40,000 |  |
| Survey, Borings | 0.25\% |  | \$40,000 |  |
| Clerk of the Works | 0.75\% |  | \$110,000 |  |
| Bonding/Legal | 0.30\% |  | \$40,000 |  |
| 6. Design Contingency | 5\% |  |  | \$800,000 |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  | \$17,500,000 |

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

New Elementary School
Student Capacity
525

Square Foot Allowance

State Allowable Formula
Previous < 50 y.o.

120 square feet / student :

1990

Total to Deduct
19,706

Allowable Size

State Allowable Formula $\$ 182$ per square foot $=\$ 9,299,108$
Site and Soft Cost

Allowable Cost
Emerson Gym/CR Additic

Total to Deduct
51,094

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\quad \$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 9,299,108$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  | $\$ 6,900,000$ |
|  |  | $16,199,108$ |

Bond Cost Worksheet
590

70,800 based on core capacity

## Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds |  | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | \$ | 16,199,108 |
|  | 54\% |  | \$8,747,518 |  |  |
|  | 80\% | At Start | \$6,998,015 |  |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$1,749,504 |  |  |

Total Project Cost
\$17,500,000
Total State Aid
§8,747,518
Total Bond Amount
\$10,501,985
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
9/22/2020


## OPTION 8

## ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO TROY AS NEW SOUTH ELEMENTARY ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO MT CAESAR AS NEW NORTH ELEMENTARY


(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity
525
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet
590

## Square Foot Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | 120 square feet / student = | 70,800 | based on core capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous < 35 y.o. |  |  |  |
| Original Schools | 1900, 1950 |  | Older than 35 years |
| 1st Additions | 1950's |  | Older than 35 years |
| Early Cutler Additions |  |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 1987 |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 2000 | 4,733 |  |
| Cutler Gym | 1990's | 11,382 |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |
|  | Total to Deduct | 16,115 |  |
| Allowable Size |  | 54,685 |  |

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | $\$$ | 182 per square foot $=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  | $\$ 9,952,670$ |
|  |  | $\$ 1,800,000$ |
| Allowable Cost | $\$ 11,752,670$ |  |

Reimbursement
Renovation Thresholds

State Aid

Replacement Value $\quad \$ 7,004,816$
25\% Renovation Min. \$ 1,751,204
60\% Renovation Max. $\quad \$ 4,202,890$

Allowed Project Cost $=\quad \$ \quad 8,028,000$
\$4,415,400
$\begin{array}{llr}80 \% & \text { At Start } & \$ 3,532,320 \\ 20 \% & \text { At Completion } & \$ 883,080\end{array}$
Total Project Cost
\$8,028,000
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$4,495,680
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020


|  | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$200,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  | \$200,000 |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$11,707,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 40,675 s.f. | \$ 182 pers.f. | \$7,403,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$3,564,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$370,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$370,000 |  |


| 3. Design Fees |  |  | $\$ 630,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civil Engineering | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| A\&E New Construction | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 370,000$ |  |
| A\&E Renovation | $7.00 \%$ | $\$ 250,000$ |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 1,120,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 590,000$ |  |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 120,000$ |  |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 230,000$ |  |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 180,000$ |  |


| 5. | Administrative Costs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 190,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 90,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency $5 \% \quad \$ 700,000$

Total Project Cost
\$14,547,000
(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Troy Elementary School
Student Capacity
525
Square Foot Allowance
State Allowable Formula Previous < 50 y.o.

Emerson Gym/CR Add.
1990

Total to Deduct
19,706
Allowable Size
Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 9,299,108$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |

Allowable Cost
120 square feet / student = Total 51,094

## Bond Cost Worksheet

 590Reimbursement
Renovation Thresholds

State Aid

| Allowed Project Cost |  |  | $=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| State Aid Rate | $\$ 6,676,509$ |  | $12,139,108$ |
| $55 \%$ | Sta |  |  |
| $80 \%$ | At Start | $\$ 5,341,208$ |  |
| $20 \%$ | At Completion | $\$ 1,335,302$ |  |

Total Project Cost
\$14,547,000
Total State Aid $\$ 6,676,509$
Total Bond Amount
\$9,205,792
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC 11/30/2020


## OPTION 9

## ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS <br> TO MT CAESAR TROY AS NEW <br> CENTRAL ELEMENTARY <br> ADDITIONS / RENOVATIONS TO EMERSON AND <br> GILSUM



## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Emerson Elementary School
Student Capacity
200
Core Capacity

Bond Cost Worksheet 248

Square Foot Allowance

State Allowable Formula
Previous < 35 y.o.
Gym/CR Addition
Pre-School
五

144 square feet / student :
1990

Total to Deduct
19,706
Allowable Size

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 2,913,092$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |

Allowable Cost 3,543,092
Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds | Replacement Value | $\$ 5,977,972$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $25 \%$ Renovation Min. $\$ 1,494,493$ |  |  |  |
|  | $60 \%$ Renovation Max. $\$ 3,586,783$ |  |  |  |
| State Aid |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | $2,474,000$ |
|  | $55 \%$ | State Aid Rate | $\$ 1,360,700$ |  |
|  | $80 \%$ | At Start | $\$ 1,088,560$ |  |
|  | $20 \%$ | At Completion | $\$ 272,140$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total Project Cost
\$2,474,000
Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$1,385,440
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020

Student Capacity 100

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$3,657,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 8,383 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$1,526,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$1,979,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$180,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$0 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$180,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$0 |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 340,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |


| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency $5 \% \quad \$ 200,000$

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

| Gilsum Elementary |  |  |  | Bond Cost Worksheet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity |  | 100 | Core Capacity | 160 |  |
| Square Foot Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable FormulaPrevious < 35 y.0. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990's 7,890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total to Deduct |  | 7,890 |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 15,150 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | \$2,757,300 |  |
|  | Site and Soft Cost \$780,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | \$2,772,450 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25\% Renovation Min. |  | \$ 822,094 |  |
|  |  |  | 60\% Renovation Max. | \$ 1,973,026 |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost |  |  | \$ 2,772,450 |
|  |  | 55\% State Aid Rate |  | \$1,524,848 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | At Start | \$1,219,878 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$304,970 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$4,437,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$1,524,848 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,217,122 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | tects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |




## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G
Barker Architects, Inc.
11/30/2020

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity 750

## Square Foot Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | 120 square feet $/$ student $=$ | 105,600 | based on core capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous < 35 y.o. |  |  |  |
| Original Schools | 1900, 1950 |  | Older than 35 years |
| 1st Additions | 1950's |  | Older than 35 years |
| Early Cutler Additions |  |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 1987 |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 2000 | 4,733 |  |
| Cutler Gym | 1990's | 11,382 |  |
| Pre-School |  | 655 |  |
|  | Total to Deduct | 16,770 |  |
| Allowable Size |  | 88,830 |  |

## Construction Cost Allowance

State Allowable Formula $\$ 182$ per square foot $=\quad \$ 16,167,060$

Site and Soft Cost
Allowable Cost
\$16,255,890
Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds |  | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max. | \$ 7,004,816 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$ 1,751,204 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ 4,202,890 |  |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost | = | \$ | 15,226,000 |
|  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$8,374,300 |  |  |
|  | 80\% | At Start | \$6,699,440 |  |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$1,674,860 |  |  |

Total Project Cost

## \$15,226,000

Total State Aid
Total Bond Amount
\$8,526,560
Table H
Barker Architects, PLLC
11/30/2020


## OPTION 10

## TOWN SCHOOLS CONSOLIDATE SWANZEY TO MT CEASAR ADDITIONS/RENOVATIONS TO EMERSON <br> TROY <br> GILSUM



## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

| Emerson Elementary School Student Capacity | 200 | Core Capacity | Bond Cost Worksheet$248$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Square Foot Allowance |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Previous < 50 y.o. | 144 square feet $/$ student $=$ |  | 35,712 based on core capacity |  |
| Gym/CR Addition | 1990 |  | 19,706 |  |
|  | Total to Deduct |  | 19,706 |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  | 16,006 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula \$ Site and Soft Cost |  | per square foot $=$ | \$2,913,092 |  |
|  |  |  | \$630,000 |  |
| Allowable Cost | 3,543,092 |  |  |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds | Replacement Value $\$ 6,207,894$ <br> $25 \%$ Renovation Min. $\$ 1,551,974$ <br> $60 \%$ Renovation Max. $\$ 3,724,736$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Aid | Allowed Project Cost |  | = | \$ 2,474,000 |
|  | 55\% |  | \$1,360,700 |  |
|  | 80\% At Start |  | \$1,088,560 |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$272,140 |  |
|  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$2,474,000 |
|  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$1,360,700 |
|  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$1,385,440 |



Barker Architects, PLLC 11/30/2020
Student Capacity 100

| 1. | Site Development Costs |  |  |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |
|  | Playfields | 1 | Field |  |  |
|  | Paving | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
|  | Site Prep \& Utilities |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | General Construction - New |  |  |  | \$3,657,000 |
|  | New Constuction | 8,383 s.f. | \$ 182 per s.f. | \$1,526,000 |  |
|  | Renovation |  |  | \$1,979,000 |  |
|  | Construction Contingency | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
|  | Construction Manager Fee | 5.00\% |  | \$76,000 |  |
| 3. | Design Fees |  |  |  | \$180,000 |
|  | Civil Engineering | 6.00\% |  | \$0 |  |
|  | A\&E New Construction | 5.00\% |  | \$180,000 |  |
|  | A\&E Renovation | 7.00\% |  | \$0 |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 340,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |


| 5. Administrative Costs |  |  | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency $5 \% \quad \$ 200,000$

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

| Gilsum Elementary |  |  |  | Bond Cost Worksheet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Capacity |  | 100 | Core Capacity | 160 |  |
| Square Foot Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable FormulaPrevious < 35 y.0. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980's Older than 35 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990's 7,890 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-School |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total to Deduct |  | 7,890 |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 15,150 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | \$2,757,300 |  |
|  | Site and Soft Cost \$780,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | \$2,772,450 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25\% Renovation Min. |  | \$ 822,094 |  |
|  |  |  | 60\% Renovation Max. | \$ 1,973,026 |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost |  |  | \$ 2,772,450 |
|  |  | 55\% State Aid Rate |  | \$1,524,848 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | At Start | \$1,219,878 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$304,970 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$4,437,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$1,524,848 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,217,122 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | tects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |



(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )

Mt Ceasar Elementary
Student Capacity
550
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet
650

## Square Foot Allowance

| State Allowable Formula | 120 square feet / student $=$ | 78,000 | based on core capacity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Previous < 35 y.o. |  |  |  |
| Original Schools | 1900,1950 |  | Older than 35 years |
| 1st Additions | 1950 's |  | Older than 35 years |
| Early Cutler Additions |  | Older than 35 years |  |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 1987 |  | Older than 35 years |
| Mt Ceasar Additions | 2000 |  | 4,733 |
| Cutler Gym | 1990 's |  | 11,382 |
| Pre-School |  | Total to Deduct | 16,115 |
|  |  | 61,885 |  |

## Construction Cost Allowance

| State Allowable Formula $\$ \quad 182$ per square foot $=$ | $\$ 11,263,070$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Site and Soft Cost |  |  |
| Allowable Cost |  | $\$ 13,243,000$ |

Reimbursement

| Renovation Thresholds | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max. |  | \$ 7,004,816 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$ 1,751,204 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ 4,202,890 |  |  |
| State Aid |  | Allowed Project Cost | = | \$ | 9,324,000 |
|  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$5,128,200 |  |  |
|  | 80\% | At Start | \$4,102,560 |  |  |
|  | 20\% | At Completion | \$1,025,640 |  |  |


Student Capacity 200

| 1. Site Development Costs |  |  |  | $\$ 200,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Land Acquisition | 1 | Field |  |  |
| Playfields | 100 | Spaces |  |  |
| Paving |  |  | $\$ 200,000$ |  |


| 2.General Construction - New  <br> New Constuction 6,364 <br> s.f. $\$ 182$ per s.f. | $\$ 1,158,000$ | $\$ 4,838,000$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Renovation |  |  |  | $\$ 3,564,000$ |  |
| Construction Contingency | $5.00 \%$ |  |  | $\$ 58,000$ |  |
| Construction Manager Fee | $5.00 \%$ |  |  | $\$ 58,000$ |  |


| 3. Design Fees |  |  | $\$ 320,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civil Engineering | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| A\&E New Construction | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 60,000$ |  |
| A\&E Renovation | $7.00 \%$ | $\$ 250,000$ |  |


| 4. Furniture, Equipment and Services |  | $\$ 460,000$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loose Equipment | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 240,000$ |  |
| Phone Service | $1.00 \%$ | $\$ 50,000$ |  |
| Computers | $2.00 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Utility Charges | $1.50 \%$ | $\$ 70,000$ |  |


| 5. | Administrative Costs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Testing | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ |  |
| Survey, Borings | $0.25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| Clerk of the Works | $0.75 \%$ | $\$ 40,000$ |  |
| Bonding/Legal | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 20,000$ |  |

6. Design Contingency

5\%
$\$ 300,000$

## Total Project Cost

(Note: all numbers rounded to nearest $\$ 10,000$ )
Table G
Barker Architects, Inc. 11/30/2020

Troy Elementary School
Student Capacity
200
Core Capacity
Bond Cost Worksheet

Square Foot Allowance
State Allowable Formula
144 square feet / student :
Previous < 50 y.o.

|  |  |  |  |  | All Troy additions over 50 years old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total to Deduct | - |  |
| Allowable Size |  |  |  | 35,712 |  |
| Construction Cost Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Allowable Formula Site and Soft Cost | \$ |  | per square foot $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,499,584 \\ & \$ 1,360,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Allowable Cost |  |  |  | 7,859,584 |  |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renovation Thresholds |  |  | Replacement Value 25\% Renovation Min. 60\% Renovation Max | $\$ 4,029,208$ $\$ 1,007,302$ $\$ 2,417,525$ |  |
| State Aid |  |  | Allowed Project Cost | $=$ | \$ 6,198,000 |
|  |  | 55\% | State Aid Rate | \$3,408,900 |  |
|  |  | 80\% | At Start | \$2,727,120 |  |
|  |  | 20\% | At Completion | \$681,780 |  |
|  |  | Total Project Cost |  |  | \$6,198,000 |
|  |  | Total State Aid |  |  | \$3,4,08,900 |
|  |  | Total Bond Amount |  |  | \$3,470,880 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Table H |
|  |  |  | Barker Archi | ects, PLLC | 11/30/2020 |



